Pupil premium strategy statement Orleton Primary School

This statement details our school's intended use of pupil funding to help improve the attainment of our disadvantaged pupils.

It outlines our pupil premium strategy, how we intend to spend the funding in this academic year and the effect that last year's spending of pupil premium had within our school.

School overview

Detail	Data
School name	Orleton C of E Primary
	School
Number of pupils in school	
	174
Proportion (%) of pupil premium eligible pupils	
	13%
Academic year/years that our current pupil premium strategy	2025(Sept) – 2028 (July)
plan covers (3 year plans are recommended)	
Date this statement was published	September 2025
Date on which it will be reviewed	September 2026
Statement authorised by	Adam Breakwell - Head
Pupil premium lead	Adam Breakwell
Governor	Sallie Peacock

Funding overview

Detail	Amount
Pupil premium funding allocation this academic year	£34,845
Pupil premium funding carried forward from previous years	£O
(enter £0 if not applicable)	£O
Total budget for this academic year	
	£34,845

Part A: Pupil premium strategy plan

Statement of intent

At Orleton C of E we firmly believe and strive for every child, no matter their background or level of disadvantage, to achieve their potential. To this end, we work hard to ensure that our disadvantaged children achieve at least in line with their peers.

Our current plan is multi-faceted across many areas of academic and non-academic elements to ensure the widest possible impact.

Challenges

This details the key challenges to achievement that we have identified among our disadvantaged pupils.

Challenge	Detail of challenge
number	
1	Attendance of disadvantaged families
2	 Speaking and listening is an areas we often find disadvantaged children need additional support in especially as many have less access to nursery provision / hours Early reading – disadvantaged children typically enter Reception with lower than average early reading skills
	Core fluency in oracy, maths and writing
3	Social and emotional support for disadvantaged pupils and families (inc mental health)
4	Disadvantaged families tend have more limited experiences beyond their home life and immediate community
5	Children from disadvantaged families are less likely to have well developed 'Positive Learning Behaviours' (ie growth mindset, resilience, meta cognition and positive mental health)
6	Academic and social Impact of lock-down upon disadvantaged children and their families.

Intended outcomes

This explains the outcomes we are aiming for by the end of our current strategy plan, and how we will measure whether they have been achieved.

Intended outcome	Success criteria
Improve school attendance for target disadvantaged	Compared to previous academic years, target
families	families attendance will improve significantly to or
	above 96%
Disadvantaged pupils maintain at least the standard of attainment they achieved at the end of the previous year (reading, writing, maths). Those that have fallen behind make accelerated progress towards catching up.	All disadvantaged children make expected progress from previous summer and from previous Key Stage outcomes. Pupils will have regular opportunities to rehearse, practice and consolidate key skills in reading,
	spelling, handwriting and mental/written arithmetic.
Evidence from both statutory and internal data	Data from Data snap-shots and EYFSP, Y1 Phonics
collection that PP children achieve as well as non-PP	screening (and Y2), Y2 SATs and Y6 SATs show little
children across the year in all core subjects.	difference between PP and non-PP children. Low

numbers of PP children can mean careful analysis of headline figures is necessary.

Activity in this academic year

This details how we intend to spend our pupil premium (and recovery premium funding) **this academic year** to address the challenges listed above.

Teaching

Budgeted cost: £7800

Activity	Evidence that supports this approach	Challenge number(s)
		addressed
Train all staff in ARC,	The EEF Toolkit suggests that developing	2, 5, 6
work with the staff team	aspects such as meta-cognition, growth	
to develop and child	mindset and resilience (all aspects of the	
centred and therapeutic	Positive Learning Behaviours approach)	
relationships policy.	has a positive impact (both academically	
Train staff in talking	and socially) upon all ages of primary	
resolutions	phase children. EEF toolkit suggests that	
	metacognition and self-regulation have a	
Continue Mastering	high impact for a very low cost.	2.6
Continue Mastering	The EEF Toolkit suggests that a 'mastery	2, 6
Number training including training new staff (YR-Y2)	approach' to learning in mathematics can improve outcomes for children taught in	
and Yr 4) and further	this way.	
support in delivery.	This is a new approach being spear-	
Training has now been	headed by the NCETM and the local Salop	
delivered, and we are	Maths Hub	
now embedding this.	Macistras	
Training in and purchase	The EEF suggests TA interventions,	2,5,6
of new materials for	phonics support and reading	_,_,_
Read, write, inc phonics.	comprehension strategies alongside	
Additional support in all	mastery of learning are low cost high	
classes for targeted catch	impact ways to drive learning	
up in phonics, reading,		
writing and mathematics		
within morning sessions.		
Training in and	EEF toolkit, quality training for all staff to	2, 6
investment of staff time	deliver and consistent approach in this	
in Talk for writing	area across the school.	
approach to writing		
Develop oracy within	EEF toolkit suggest that oral language	2, 6
each classroom with	interventions and initiatives have a	
bespoke lessons and	moderate impact for very low cost.	
teacher training	The DDD and we also TA in the month in a	2.5.6
Training for new staff in	The EEF suggests TA interventions,	2,5,6
phonics, investment in	phonics support and reading	
professional	comprehension strategies alongside	
development for existing	mastery of learning are low cost high	
staff to enable peer to peer learning and review	impact ways to drive learning.	
heer rearrilla and review		

Development of grammar	EEF toolkit	2, 6
programme and new		
approach to spellings and		
focus on handwriting		
across the school.		
Targeted maths support –	EEF Toolkit identifies 1-to-1 tuition as	2, 6
some 1 to 1 alongside	having high impact for moderate cost.	
small group work		
especially within year 6		
with additional teachers		

Targeted academic support (for example, tutoring, one-to-one support structured interventions)

Budgeted cost: £18,945

Activity	Evidence that supports this approach	Challenge number(s) addressed
Toe by toe / one to one reading focussing on reading and comprehension	EEF Toolkit identifies small group tuition as having a moderate impact for low cost. It also identifies teaching assistant interventions as having a moderate impact for moderate cost.	2, 6
Teacher and Teaching Assistant catch-up sessions	EEF Toolkit identifies small group tuition as having a moderate impact for low cost. It also identifies teaching assistant interventions as having a moderate impact for moderate cost.	2, 6
Additional Teaching Assistant hours to support targeted areas of core subjects both in and out of core lessons and lunchtime sessions	EEF Toolkit identifies 1-to-1 tuition as having high impact for moderate cost. It also identifies teaching assistant interventions as having a moderate impact for moderate cost.	2, 6
Phonics Booster sessions across EYFS, Ks1 and into KS2 for those who need it	EEF Toolkit identifies 1-to-1 tuition as having high impact for moderate cost. It also identifies teaching assistant interventions as having a moderate impact for moderate cost.	2, 6
Tutoring within school for targeted children to raise levels in key subject areas.	EEF Toolkit identifies tuition as having high impact for moderate cost. It also identifies teaching assistant interventions as having a moderate impact for moderate cost.	2,6

Wider strategies (for example, related to attendance, behaviour, wellbeing)

Budgeted cost: £8100

Activity	Evidence that supports this approach	Challenge number(s)
		addressed

Attendance support programme for target families including providing breakfast and after school clubs for short periods to improve attendance.	There is a direct link between school attendance and academic outcomes. If we can increase the attendance then there is a much greater chance of success for disadvantaged children.	1, 6
Financial support for extra-curricular activities and pursuits (inc, clubs, residentials, music tuition, hire of equipment etc) £200 per child	EEF toolkit supports many of the elements of engagement that this funding underpins.	2, 3, 6
Supporting of wellbeing and mental health including the use of our school counsellor to work with individuals and groups and training to deliver the jigsaw programme for all staff	Although EEF evidence is unclear on this element, it is clear that this is an element of support that is needed for both children, staff and parents across the community.	3, 6
Additional time and support for Early Help for disadvantaged families	EEF toolkit identifies parental engagement as having a moderate impact.	3, 6
Training from our school counsellor to support key staff in supporting children and working with parents to engage with school and help their children to access learning	EEF Toolkit identifies: Behaviour interventions, self-regulation, social and emotional learning as having positive impact upon learning.	2, 3, 6

Total budgeted cost: £34,845

Part B: Review of outcomes in the previous academic year Pupil premium strategy outcomes

This details the impact that our pupil premium activity had on pupils in the 2024 to 2025 academic year.

Attendance for those in receipt of pupil premium has improved.

Participation in extracurricular activities has increased for those children in reciept of pupil premium funding.

Numbers of children in receipt of pupil premium are very low by the end of KS2 and therefore publishing statistical comparisons would identify children easily. We are pleased with the progress made by all children in this group.

Numbers of children in receipt of pupil premium are very low by the end of KS1 and therefore publishing statistical comparisons would identify children easily. We are pleased with the progress made by all children in this group.